

Start the references list on a new page. The word "References" (or "Reference," if there is only one source), should appear bolded and centered at the top of the page. Reference entries should follow in alphabetical order. There should be a reference entry for every source cited in the text.

Note that sources in online academic publications like scholarly journals now require DOIs or stable URLs if they are available.

References

Ambady, N., & Rosenthal, R. (1993). Half a minute: Predicting teacher evaluations from thin slices of nonverbal behavior and physical attractiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64(3), 431–441. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.64.3.431>

American Association of University Professors. (n.d.) Background facts on contingent faculty positions. <https://www.aaup.org/issues/contingency/background-facts>

American Association of University Professors. (2018, October 11). Data snapshot: Contingent faculty in US higher ed. *AAUP Updates*. <https://www.aaup.org/news/data-snapshot-contingent-faculty-us-higher-ed#.Xfpdmy2ZNR4>

Anderson, K., & Miller, E. D. (1997). Gender and student evaluations of teaching. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 30(2), 216–219. <https://doi.org/10.2307/420499>

Armstrong, J. S. (1998). Are student ratings of instruction useful? *American Psychologist*, 53(11), 1223–1224. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.53.11.1223>

Attiyeh, R., & Lumsden, K. G. (1972). Some modern myths in teaching economics: The U.K. experience. *American Economic Review*, 62(1), 429–443. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1821578>

Bachen, C. M., McLoughlin, M. M., & Garcia, S. S. (1999). Assessing the role of gender in college students' evaluations of faculty. *Communication Education*, 48(3), 193–210. <http://doi.org/cqcqsr>

Shortened DOI.

Basow, S. A. (1995). Student evaluations of college professors: When gender matters. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 87(4), 656–665. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.87.4.656>

Becker, W. (2000). Teaching economics in the 21st century. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14(1), 109–120. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/jep.14.1.109>

Benton, S., & Young, S. (2018). Best practices in the evaluation of teaching. *Idea paper*, 69.

Source with two authors.

Source with organizational author.

All citation entries should be double-spaced. After the first line of each entry, every following line should be indented a half inch (this is called a "hanging indent").

Berk, R. A. (2005). Survey of 12 strategies to measure teaching effectiveness. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 17(1), 48–62.

Print book.

→ Bloom, B. S., Englehart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals*. Addison-Wesley Longman Ltd.

Brandenburg, D., Slinde, C., & Batista, J. (1977). Student ratings of instruction: Validity and normative interpretations. *Research in Higher Education*, 7(1), 67–78.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00991945>

Carrell, S., & West, J. (2010). Does professor quality matter? Evidence from random assignment of students to professors. *Journal of Political Economy*, 118(3), 409–432.

<https://doi.org/10.1086/653808>

Cashin, W. E. (1990). Students do rate different academic fields differently. In M. Theall, & J. L. Franklin (Eds.), *Student ratings of instruction: Issues for improving practice*. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning* (pp. 113–121). ←

Chapter in an edited collection.

Centra, J., & Gaubatz, N. (2000). Is there gender bias in student evaluations of teaching? *The Journal of Higher Education*, 71(1), 17–33.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2000.11780814>

Second edition of a print book.

→ Davis, B. G. (2009). *Tools for teaching* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Denton, D. (2013). Responding to edTPA: Transforming practice or applying shortcuts? *AILACTE Journal*, 10(1), 19–36. ←

Academic article for which a DOI was unavailable.

Dizney, H., & Brickell, J. (1984). Effects of administrative scheduling and directions upon student ratings of instruction. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 9(1), 1–7.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X\(84\)90001-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X(84)90001-8)

DuCette, J., & Kenney, J. (1982). Do grading standards affect student evaluations of teaching? Some new evidence on an old question. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 74(3), 308–314. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.74.3.308>

- Edwards, J. E., & Waters, L. K. (1984). Halo and leniency control in ratings as influenced by format, training, and rater characteristic differences. *Managerial Psychology*, 5(1), 1–16.
- Fink, L. D. (2013). The current status of faculty development internationally. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 7(2).
<https://doi.org/10.20429/ijsotl.2013.070204>
- Fulcher, G. (2003). *Testing second language speaking*. Pearson Education.
- Fulcher, G., Davidson, F., & Kemp, J. (2011). Effective rating scale development for speaking tests: Performance decision trees. *Language Testing*, 28(1), 5–29.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532209359514>
- Gaff, J. G., & Simpson, R. D. (1994). Faculty development in the United States. *Innovative Higher Education*, 18(3), 167–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01191111>
- Hattie, J. (2008). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
- Hoffman, R. A. (1983). Grade inflation and student evaluations of college courses. *Educational and Psychological Research*, 3(3), 51–160. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:101557981>
- Howard, G., Conway, C., & Maxwell, S. (1985). Construct validity of measures of college teaching effectiveness. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77(2), 187–96.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.77.2.187>
- Kane, M. T. (2013) Validating interpretations and uses of test scores. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 50(1), 1–73.
- Kelley, T. (1927) *Interpretation of educational measurements*. World Book Co.
- Knoch, U. (2007). Do empirically developed rating scales function differently to conventional rating scales for academic writing? *Spain Fellow Working Papers in Second or Foreign Language Assessment*, 5, 1–36. English Language Institute, University of Michigan.
- Knoch, U. (2009). Diagnostic assessment of writing: A comparison of two rating scales. *Language Testing*, 26(2), 275-304.